

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,072	02/12/2002	Michael Cook	CIS01-32(5136)	7574	
7590 10/11/2005		EXAMINER			
David E. Huang, Esq. CHAPIN & HUANG, L.L.C.			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
Westborough (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1700 West Park Drive			2143		
Westborough, MA 01581			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* `	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/075,072	COOK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
HE REPLY FILED <u>06 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) Miles The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states of the shortened	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must lead to the since a filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	Lukuwi wa aha daka afiilima a haia	of will make be ambawad	hanaura			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \square They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,6-11,13-15 and 17-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:						

DAVID WILEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added limitations "the first and second entries of the NAT data structure are created in response to receipt of the RTP packet and each entyr includes respective first data and second data, the first network data entry includes..., the first network data of the second entry includes...conveying the data element of the first data flow comprises... and conveying the data element of the second data flow comprises..." require further consideration and a new search.

